



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6255
Title of the Manuscript:	Bank Lending Channel Effectiveness and Loan Sales in the US
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Remove the words "Aims, Methodology and Results" in the Abstract. 2. Redraft the question and goal to flow in a statement form. 3. Add one more keyword. 4. Render the connecting verbs with the sources in the text in past tense. E.g. Kashyap and Stein(1995:7) argued that ... 5. Separate year of publication and page with colon(:). 6. Use METHODS AND MATERIALS. 7. Separate methods and Results to different sections. 8. Have a separate section for Discussion of Findings. 9. Arrange the references in alphabetical order, e.g., A before B....	1. and 2. We have corrected the abstract following science direct/Elsevier formatting. Please see highlighted section in the revised manuscript. 3. Added dynamic panel regression as an additional keyword. 4. Done. Connecting verbs are now past tense. See highlighted text. 5. We now follow the BJEMT author guidelines for referencing and citation style. 6. Since our paper does not use materials, we now call section 4. Empirical Methodology. 7. We have separated method and results and now have a new section 5. Results. 8. We call section 6. Conclusion now instead of policy implications and conclusion. 9. We now follow the BJEMT author guidelines for referencing and citation style. References are ordered by number.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Nil	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nil	
---	-----	--